1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 CUTTING EDGE VISION, LLC, CASE NO. 2:20-cv-01618-MLP 11 AGREEMENT REGARDING Plaintiff, **DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY** 12 STORED INFORMATION AND ORDER v. 13 14 HTC CORPORATION and HTC AMERICA, INC., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of 19 electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter: 20 A. General Principles 21 An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting 22 discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate 23 in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and 24 contributes to the risk of sanctions.

The proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(C) must be applied in

each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality

OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, PLLC 901 5TH AVE, SUITE 3500 SEATTLE, WA 98164

25

26

25

26

standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

B. ESI Disclosures

The parties agree to promptly meet and confer to disclose Custodians, Non-custodial data sources, Third Party Data Sources, and Inaccessible Data as defined by the MODEL PROTOCOL.

C. Preservation

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody or control. With respect to the preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify, on a going-forward basis, the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody or control.
- 2. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:
 - a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
 - b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
 - c. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and the like.
 - d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
 - e. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
 - f. Server, system or network logs.

- g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
- h. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, notes, and text messages) stored on mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided that a copy of all email data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or "cloud" storage).
- 4. Only ESI created or received during the period from November 3, 2013 through the filing date of this lawsuit (November 3, 2020) will be preserved under this order.

D. Search

The parties have agreed to exchange technical documentation.

In addition, the parties agreed that they will search reasonably relevant custodial ESI for relevant documents on a targeted basis (for example HTC will search for documents of relevant custodians related to "CEV," "Cutting Edge Vision," and "Konicek,") and to produce responsive, non-privileged documents. The parties' agreement regarding the production of privilege logs is addressed in the parties' Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan. (Doc. 30 at 3-4.) At this time, the parties have agreed to postpone more extensive ESI collection and searching protocol. The parties will meet and confer regarding whether additional searching is necessary after service of HTC's LPR 121 and LPR 122 Non-infringement and Invalidity Contentions and review of technical documents produced by HTC.

In the event that the parties agree more extensive ESI collection and searching is required, the Parties shall try to reach agreement on appropriate search terms before any query is performed. In this event it is agreed,

 If requested, a producing party shall disclose what search terms, if any, were used to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information. If search terms were not used, a party shall disclose the search methodology used to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information.

- 2. If search terms were used to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than 5 additional terms or queries to be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties. The parties shall confer in good faith on the 5 additional terms or queries. Focused terms and queries, rather than overbroad ones, shall be employed.
- 3. Absent a showing of good cause, search terms returning more than 250 megabytes of data are presumed to be overbroad. The producing party shall search non-custodial data sources and other ESI maintained by the identified Custodians. Defendants contend that email production should not be necessary in this case. Plaintiff disagrees, but has agreed to meet and confer regarding the appropriate scope of the parties' email productions. (Doc. 30 at 3.)

E. Production Formats

The parties agree generally to conform their productions with the standard production specifications attached as Exhibit A. The parties agree to produce documents in either native or 300 dpi single page searchable TIFF images, with appropriate accompanying Concordance load files. The Concordance load files will contain extracted or OCR text, the custodian of the document, the Bates number of the document, an indication of whether the document is redacted, and an indication of confidentiality designation. The creation date, author, last modified date, and filename with extension shall generally be included. If particular documents warrant a different format, such as for voluminous spreadsheets, the parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The parties agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

F. Privilege

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or

protection from discovery, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized by law, in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, the mere production of privileged or work-product protected documents in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately destroyed or returned to the producing party.

- 2. Communications involving trial counsel need not be placed on a privilege log.
- 3. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

G. Modification

This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the Court for good cause shown.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 1st day of March, 2021.

OGDEN MURPHY WALLACE, PLLC	GORDON REES SCULLY
	MANSUKHANI, LLP.
By: Dai Ogaleccli	By: <u>/s/ Lara S. Garner</u>
Daniel J. Vecchio, WSBA # 44632	Lara S. Garner, Pro Hac Vice
,	Ross Kirkbaumer WSBA # 56310
901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3500	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, WA 98164	Seattle, WA 98104
Tel: (206) 447-7000	Tel: (206) 695-5100
dvecchio@omwlaw.com	rkirkbaumer@grsm.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorney for Defendants
SPERLING & SLATER, PC	
By: /s/Eamon Kelly	

Eamon Kelly, Pro Hac Vice

1	55 West Monroe Street, 32 nd Floor
	Chicago, IL 60603
2	Tel.: (312) 641-3200
3	Fax: (312) 641-6492
4	ekelly@sperling-law.com
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff
6	THE LAW OFFICES OF LISA & LESKO, LLC
7	By: <u>/s/Justin J. Lesko</u>
8	Justin J. Lesko, Pro Hac Vice
	Steven G. Lisa, Pro Hac Vice
9	55 East Monroe Street, Suite 3800
10	Chicago, IL 60603
11	Tel.: (480) 442-0297
12	JustinLesko@patentit.com SteveLisa@patentit.com
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff
14	
15	
16	ORDER
17	
18	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	DATED: March 2, 2021.
20	males
21	- Typetism
22	MICHELLE L. PETERSON
23	United States Magistrate Judge
24	
25	
26	